



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. Г	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,614		10/30/2000	William Silver	C00-057	7066
23459	7590	09/29/2005		EXAMINER	
ARTHUR		=	LE, BRIAN Q		
LEGAL DI COGNEX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ONE VISIO			2623	-	
NATICK,	MA 0176	50-2077	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commence	09/699,614	SILVER, WILLIA	SILVER, WILLIAM				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Brian Q. Le	2623					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INCHEVER IS SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical operator of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory increase or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS CON CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however tion. period will apply and will expire SID y statute, cause the application to b	MMUNICATION. If, may a reply be timely filed ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status								
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.09 June 2005						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a			e merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
7)								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
. * 0	application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for	•						
`	see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copi	es not received.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Int	terview Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s)		per No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Ot	her:	,				

Application/Control Number: 09/699,614 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

1

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/09/2005 has been entered.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 3

3. Claims 11-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over

claims 10-17 of U. S. Patent No. 6,671,049 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly

extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is

covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter,

as follows: The concept of non-zero skew was claimed in the patent which also described in the

claim 11 of the application along with other concepts: plurality of blades, blades with holes, and

plurality of blades with different spirals would generate a non-circular outer blade edge feature.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting

claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application

which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968).

See also MPEP § 804.

Prior Art Rejection

4. The Examiner does not find prior arts that teach the claim limitation: "non-straight radial

feature" and "non-circular outer blade edge feature" as specially defined in the drawings and the

specification.

Art Unit: 2623

CONCLUSION

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7424. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M - 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 571-272-7414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BL

September 26, 2005

SAMIR AHMED